Measurements of water levels in the main channels of rivers, upland tributaries and floodplain lakes are necessary for understanding flooding hazards, methane production, sediment transport and nutrient exchange. But most remote river basins have only a few gauging stations and these tend to be restricted to larger river channels. Although radar remote sensing techniques can be used to observe interferometric phase changes and can provide the potential to improve spatial sampling, the phase is temporally incoherent over open water and has therefore not been used to determine water levels. Here we use interferometric synthetic aperture radar (SAR) data\(^1\), acquired over the central Amazon by the Space Shuttle imaging radar mission\(^2\), to measure subpixel water level changes in an area of flooded vegetation on the Amazon flood plain. The technique makes use of the fact that flooded forests and floodplain lakes with emergent shrubs permit radar double-bounce returns from water and vegetation surfaces\(^3,4\), thus allowing coherence to be maintained. Our interferometric phase observations show decreases in water levels of 7–11 cm per day for tributaries and lakes within ~20 km of a main channel and 2–5 cm per day at distances of ~80 km. Proximal floodplain observations are in close agreement with main-channel gauge records, indicating a rapid response of the flood plain to decreases in river stage. With additional data from future satellite missions, the technique described here should provide direct observations important for understanding flood dynamics and hydrologic exchange between rivers and flood plains.

Climatically driven, seasonal changes in river water levels (river stages) govern a wide range of hydrologic, geomorphological and ecological processes. Hydrologic modelling of the Amazon flood wave predicts discharge only along the main channel (the stem), and suggests that up to 30% of mainstem flow exchanges with the floodplain. Because very few of the
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bodies greater than about two kilometres in width (its height accuracy is limited by orbital, range, and atmospheric errors\(^1\),\(^2\)). Imagery from space-borne platforms, such as passive microwave sensors, synthetic aperture radars and the Landsat thematic mapper, have been used to map the extent and timing of inundation\(^6\),\(^7\),\(^8\), yet these methods do not directly measure stage elevation or change.

Here we report that interferometric processing of SAR data from the SIR-C mission\(^9\) yields centimetre-scale measurements of stage change in floodplain lakes, floodplain channels (paranas), and upland tributaries (igarapes).

Radar backscatter intensity data from the second phase of the SIR-C mission were collected over the Amazon basin in October 1994, at X- (3.1 cm), C- (5.7 cm), and L-band (24.0 cm) wavelengths, and with horizontal and vertical polarizations. (By convention, for example, L-HV indicates a horizontally-sent vertically-received L-band radar pulse). Radar echoes at X- and C-band wavelengths backscatter from the tops of most forest canopies, while L-band echoes penetrate to the underlying ground or water surface: thus interferometric images from these simultaneously acquired radar echoes can be used to delineate vegetation types and floodplain inundation\(^2\) (Figs 1 and 2). Relative to nonflooded forests, increased backscatter intensities at L-HH are observed over flooded forests, while compared to open water, C-HH backscattering increases over floating aquatic macrophytes and emergent shrubs in floodplain lakes. These flooded environments usually produce a two-bounce travel path of the radar pulse that includes interactions between the water surface and trunks of vegetation. Conversely, low backscattering is observed at both L and C bands over open water\(^3\).

For example, the lake shown in Fig. 2 connects directly to the Purus River, a major tributary of the Solimões–Amazon River. Small tributaries drain the surrounding forested upland (for example, along profile B) and flood forests near their confluence with the lake (for example, along profile A).

Centimetre-scale topographic displacements resulting from earthquakes, inter-seismic strain accumulation, andflowing glaciers have been accurately measured using interferometric processing of SAR phase data\(^1\)\(^2\)\(^3\)\(^4\)\(^5\)\(^6\). The processing method requires two SAR image acquisitions from identical (or nearly identical) viewing geometries before and after the displacement phenomenon; coregistration of the two images to a sub-pixel accuracy; and subtraction of the complex phase and amplitude values at each SAR image pixel. The value of the resulting interferometric phase at each pixel varies between $-\pi$ and $+\pi$, and is primarily a function of the distance between the radar antenna positions during acquisition (that is, the Shuttle baseline), topographic relief, topographic displacement, and the degree of correlation between the individual scattering elements that comprise each pixel location (that is, coherence\(^2\)\(^2\)\(^3\)\(^4\)). As expected for C-band wavelengths\(^2\)\(^5\)\(^6\)\(^7\),\(^8\)\(^9\), the scattering elements of the tree canopy (that is, leaves and branches easily moved by gentle breezes) are completely decorrelated in the 24 hours between SIR-C acquisitions, whereas at L-band, the elements were typically comprised of earth and immobile trunks of vegetation which enabled strong phase-correlation (as profiles in Fig. 2).

The most unexpected result of the interferometric processing is the strong, L-band coherence ($>0.5$) maintained over regions marked by flooded forest and floodplain lakes with tree canopies and emergent shrubs (profiles shown in Fig. 2). Radar pulse interactions with open water are specular (that is, reflect away from the antenna); thus L-band coherence over open rivers and lakes was low, averaging 0.26. However, the strong coherence of tributaries within flooded forests and of floodplain lakes with vegetation enabled interferometric phase measurements of the earth, vegetation trunks, and water surfaces that returned the radar echoes. In these environments, the higher-amplitude L-HH returns compared to L-HV\(^7\) produced stronger correlation, thus leading to more reliable interferometric phase measurements.

The observed interferometric phase measured both the local topography and also any surface displacements that occurred during the 24 hours separating the two SIR-C acquisitions. To separate these components, either a synthetic interferogram based on a digital elevation model (DEM) or additional SAR interferograms free of displacement phenomena can be subtracted from the observed phase\(^3\). Unfortunately, neither a high-resolution DEM nor a third SAR acquisition were available. Instead, the short, 9–10 October Shuttle baseline (perpendicular component of only 63 m) enables discrimination of the topographic and displacement components of the total measured phase. Using this baseline, the amount of topographic relief measured from $-\pi$ to $+\pi$ at the L-band wavelength is 275 m (refs 24, 25). Since the relief throughout the study area is known to be less than 65 m, only $1/4$ of a cycle ($-1/2\pi$ or less) of the observed interferometric phase can be attributed to topography. Indeed, for the imaged floodplain and upland areas, phase values of only 0.0 to $-0.5$ radians (blue pixels in Fig. 2) are found in the interferogram, indicating low regional relief.

Although the short baseline prohibits accurate measurements of Amazon basin topography\(^2\)\(^5\), its orientation enables simple physiographic comparisons. For example, the phase values in profile B of Fig. 2 reach peak values where crossing an upstream valley (given the SIR-C baseline orientation, phase peaks are expected over topographic
in floodplain water bodies within ~20 km of either the Solimões–Amazon or Purus Rivers or within the Negro archipelago. For comparison, the Manaus gauge (Fig. 1) recorded a drop of 12 cm in water level from 9 to 10 October 1994, and an average daily decrease of 12 cm in the previous 7 days. The Manacapuru, Itapeua and Moura gauges (Fig. 1) recorded decreases of 7, 5 and 6 cm, respectively, while averages over the previous 7 days were 11, 7 and 11 cm, respectively.

Interferometric measurements of decreasing floodplain water levels indicate that the proximal flood plain was rapidly evacuating water to mainstem channels during the SIR-C acquisitions, in response to the 11 cm drop in channel stage. At distances greater than ~30 km, where the flood plain includes input from surrounding upland tributaries and lakes, drops were 2–6 cm. Although these distal water bodies ultimately discharge to a mainstem river, it is likely that many days are necessary for exchange. Consecutive daily decreases of mainstem water levels since early July 1994 may have worked to decrease distal water levels while local catchment inputs (precipitation, groundwater flow and runoff) worked to increase water levels. For comparison, tributaries and lakes in upland areas that drain directly to the Solimões–Amazon or Purus Rivers also had interferometrically measured drops of 2–6 cm, with the largest drops occurring within 20 km of either mainstem river. Viewed collectively, the dominant trend in the SIR-C observations is that drops in water level diminish with increasing distance from a mainstem river. Proximal floodplain waters are quickly evacuated to mainstem rivers in response to decreased river discharge, even in low-lying areas of the Amazon. This indicates the importance and rapidity of hydrologic transfer from flood plain to river channel during recessional flow.

These observations from the SIR-C mission provide, we believe for the first time, a spatial image of centimetre-scale variations in floodplain water level response to changing river discharge; they imply that future satellite L-HH band SAR missions are capable of yielding interferometric measurements of stage change over the entire Amazon flood plain. Furthermore, our observations show that planning and acquiring L-HH interferometric radar data over other large river systems—such as the Congo of central Africa and smaller systems such as the Alligator rivers near Darwin, Australia—should yield spatial and temporal measurements of stage changes where vegetation characteristics permit interferometric correlation to be maintained. Thus, with a broader application to global wetlands, our work suggests that the complex dynamics of floods, including the timing and rates of hydrologic exchange between channels and flood plains, can be remotely measured with greatly improved resolution.
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How quickly does biodiversity rebound after extinctions? Palaeobiologists have examined the temporal, taxonomic and geographic patterns of recovery following individual mass extinctions in detail1-5, but have not analysed recoveries from extinctions throughout the fossil record as a whole. Here, we measure how fast biodiversity rebounds after extinctions in general, rather than after individual mass extinctions, by calculating the cross-correlation between extinction and origination rates across the entire Phanerozoic marine fossil record. Our results show that extinction rates are not significantly correlated with contemporaneous origination rates, but instead are correlated with origination rates roughly 10 million years later. This lagged correlation persists when we remove the ‘Big Five’ major mass extinctions, indicating that recovery times following mass extinctions and background extinctions are similar. Our results suggest that there are intrinsic limits to how quickly global biodiversity can recover after extinction events, regardless of their magnitude. They also imply that today’s anthropogenic extinctions will diminish biodiversity for millions of years to come.

A key component of biotic recovery is the time lag between episodes of rapid extinction and subsequent periods of rapid origination. Originations rebuild biodiversity, and origination rates are commonly assumed to peak when ecosystems have recovered sufficient diversity to inhibit further diversification. Thus, the elapsed time between extinction rate peaks and origination rate peaks is one measure of the recovery time (Fig. 1). We can estimate the average time lag for the whole fossil record using the cross-correlation between extinctions and originations, which measures how closely the two time series resemble each other, when one is shifted forwards or backwards by a specified interval. For regularly spaced time series, the cross-correlation function could be calculated as

$$r_{EO}(k) = \frac{\Sigma(E_i - \bar{E})(O_j - \bar{O})}{\sqrt{\Sigma(E_i - \bar{E})^2 \Sigma(O_j - \bar{O})^2}}, \quad j = i + k \tag{1}$$

where E and O are the extinction and origination rate series, and $r_{EO}(k)$ is their cross-correlation when originations lag extinctions by k steps. This direct approach cannot be applied to the fossil record, because its stratigraphic boundaries are unevenly spaced in time. Nor should one simply even out the spacing by interpolating within each stratigraphic interval, because this introduces artefactual correlation among the interpolated points25. Instead, we use the cross-correlation function when extinction and origination rates are commonly assumed to peak when ecosystems have recovered sufficient diversity to inhibit further diversification. Thus, the elapsed time between extinction rate peaks and origination rate peaks is their cross-correlation when originations lag extinctions by k steps. This direct approach cannot be applied to the fossil record, because its stratigraphic boundaries are unevenly spaced in time.

Our source data are Sepkoski’s compilations of fossil marine animal genera and families, with revisions through 1997. Because long-term drift could obscure the cross-correlations that we seek to analyse, we subtracted the long-term trends (shown as dotted lines in Fig. 1b, c) from the extinction and origination time series before analysis.

The resulting cross-correlation functions (Fig. 2) show that extinctions and originations are not significantly correlated over short lag periods, indicating that, on average, extinctions do not trigger immediate evolutionary rebounds. Instead, the cross-correlation is strongest when originations lag extinctions by roughly 10 Myr. This indicates that the average interval between extinction peaks and origination peaks, and thus the average recovery time from extinctions, is about 10 Myr across the fossil record. The statistical significance of these cross-correlations—that is, the chance of correlations this strong arising by chance at any lag, from –15 to 35 Myr—is P < 0.05 for all but one of the 16 cases shown in Fig. 2 (see Supplementary Information). The peak cross-correlation occurs at similar lags with either calculation method, and in both the genus and family data sets, indicating that this result